

TOTAL

TREATING WASTE FROM THE ERIKA

CEDRE Information Conference 21 October 2004



- Technical context
- Treatment process
- Disposal, enhancement of by-products
- Schedule planning
- Main lessons learned



TECHNICAL CONTEXT

- Big variety and quantities of waste : sundry materials (stones, plastics, plants) of different sizes and textures making handling difficult and pretreatment mandatory
- Big pressure to complete
- Need a large area (4 hectares) to install treatment centre, handle products and store waste and by-products (limited capacity for enhancement)
- Maximum safety for staff with little experience of working on "production lines " in the oil industry
- Refinery nearby : fuel supplies, treatment of oil and residual waters
- Centre operated 16 hours a day (2x8hr shifts) 5 days a week with a staff of 70 and installed power generation capacity of 3 megawatts



Centre operated 16 hours a day (2x8hr shifts) 5 days a

week with a staff of 70 and installed power generation

capacity of 3 megawatts



OVERALL QUANTITIES (TONNES)

<u>Input</u>		<u>Output</u>								
 Soiled materials 	267 158	 Scrap iron 	155							
• Lime and chemicale	6 579	Waste	5 371							
 Lime and chemicals 	6 573	 Clay sediment 	63 591							
 Gas oil 	48 794	 Aggregates 	200 838							
 Industrial water 	57 845	• Oil	49 121							
 Rainwater 	69 406	 Persistent emulsions 	1 493							
		 Process water 	124 167							
		• Losses	5 041							



ENHANCEMENT / DISPOSAL OF BY PRODUCTS

Systematic search for ways of enhancing collected and treated waste

- Scrap iron Recycled
- Waste Incinerated in a household refuse incineration plant where steam and electricity are produced
- Civil engineering applications Aggregates
- Clay sediment
 - Oil
 - Water

- Raw material for cement fractories
- Reprossed in the refinery
- Used by the refinery

By products were used as mixtures by other outlets with no effect on gas release



SCHEDULE OF OPERATIONS

				_														1																							
						20	00)					2	0	01	1					2	20	02	2						20	03	3					20	00	4		
	D	J	F٨	A A	M	J,	JA	S	D	JI	FN	Α	M.	J	A	S	0	J	F	MA	M	JJ	JA	S	D N	D.	JF	M	AM	IJ.	JA	S	D	JF	M	A	٧J	J	١S	0	
Sinking of the Erika	V								 																				_				 								
Waste storage																																									
Studies, research, negotiations, sign contract																																	 								
Build the facility			_	_			_																																		
Start-up and running in			-	_																	-																				
Industrial treatment				_																																					Ī
Cleaning, disassembly, reinstatement			_	_																																					
End of project				-																																					



MAIN LESSONS LEARNED

- Need for suitable, if not dedicated transport logistics
- Extensive oil degradation in the storage facilities
- Ensure sufficient running-in time and find external outlets
- Fine grain sediment was more abundant than expected, α emulsion sludge
- Extensive on-line analysis (on site lab) hard to sample (waste) and difficulties finding methods (fuel percentage in oil)
- By-products are increasingly costly to use owing to competition
- Indispensable technical and theoretical knowledge required even if a lot of knowledge is acquired on the spot every day



CONCLUSIONS

- TOTAL was committed to pumping the wreck, cleaning the coastline, storing and treating the waste (contract signed with the State)
- Waste treatment was a success but was made difficult because of:
 - choice of processes enabling by-products to be used afterwards
 - ✓ development of an innovative treatment process in a prototype facility
 - special measures needed to guarantee safety and to protect the environment
 - conducted under government supervision whilst informing the public at large
 - ✓ was approximately 80 million euro

