

Cedre reserves all rights - distribution and copying prohibited

Cedre Information Day 1st April 2014, Karen Quintin

Content

- Context
- Sources of information
- Statistics
- Conclusion





Cedre



Monitoring of technological developments in the response to accidental pollution of marine and inland waters:

incidents having occurred worldwide

response to spills (oil and HNS):

✓ spill data

✓ response (strategies, issues, lessons)

✓ new response equipment and techniques

✓ R&D

√...

✓ preparedness

• Print

- Weekly newsletters (specialised): International Spill Control Organization (ISCO) Newsletter, Oil Spill Intelligence Report (OSIR)
- Conference/colloquium proceedings: AMOP, IOSC, Spillcon, TSOCS, Interspill etc.
- Annual reports and assessments: IOPC Funds annual report, Canadian compensation fund etc
- Reports and studies: Coast Guards (US, UK, Norway)
- Various periodicals:

Marine Pollution Bulletin, Hazardous Cargo Bulletin, *Préventique* etc.



• Internet

In the event of an incident:

 information on the ship, circumstances, products, geographic area etc. (press or specialised sites)

As routine activity:

- equipment manufacturers / service providers
- national & foreign operational organisations (NOAA, CG, AMSA), expert organisations (ITOPF), international organisations (IMO, EMSA)
- international events sites



Participation in workshops, colloquia, conferences

International conferences 2013:

- ICOPCE (International Chemical & Oil Pollution Conference and Exhibition), Singapore
- Spillcon, Australia
- AMOP (Arctic Marine Oilspill Programme), Canada
- etc.



- Observations/feelings on sources:
 - Whatever the medium:
 - Few exclusively HNS
 - Often derived from the field of oil spills (e.g. ISCO, OSIR etc.)
 - A few specialised newsletters (e.g. Hazardous Cargo Bulletin) but sparse when it comes to response and case studies
 - Specialised sessions during international conferences:
 - Interspill 2009: 1st IMO R&D forum entirely dedicated to HNS
 - AMOP
 - IOSC / Interspill / Spillcon cycle

Varying availability of information

- Different reasons:
 - as the magnitude of the incident decreases, so does the diffusion of information
 - differences according to geographic area
 - less documented domains
 - e.g. rivers << port, coastal or marine domains</p>
 - » High number... but often minor (a few m³)
 - » Lack of centralisation of information \rightarrow limitations of reporting.

- Less feedback available for spills:

- of small size
- in inland waters...
- ... but potentially with many lessons to be learnt

- 134 million chemical substances listed in the CAS database
- 2,000 regularly transported by sea
- Transport of chemical products by sea is growing rapidly:
 - in 20 years: shipping increased 3.5 fold
 - 11% of the value of shipping worldwide
- 2009: 165 million tonnes
 - 46% = liquid cargo (methanol)
 - 29% = vegetable oils (palm)
 - \rightarrow projections for 2015 = 215 million tonnes



• Risks linked to:

• Intrinsic qualities of the product:

- toxicity
- flammability
- corrosivity
- reactivity with other substances (water, metal, adjacent cargo)
- auto-reactivity
- Quantity (e.g. vegetable oils, organic products, food products): strictly speaking outside the scope of the chemical industry

- 1998-2013
- Worldwide
- Sea, coast and inland waters
- Spills
- Magnitude of pollution > 10 m³







(1) Relative importance



HNS spills 5 times less represented in relation to oil spills Make up around 1/3 of global reports

(1) Relative importance - trends



Reports of pollution > 10 m³ per HNS: growing Relative frequency of HNS spills: ~20% of cases

(2) Which geographic regions?

On a global scale



Spills mostly reported: in Asia (80% in the east/south-east), America (90% in the north) and Europe (75% in the west) Under-representation of the African continent (communicating information?)

(2) Which geographic regions? On a European scale



Spread of information? \rightarrow limited information available on HNS case studies

(3) Domains affected by accidental water pollution

Frequency: \rightarrow inland waters



(4) Which structures? Sea, coast, ports...



³/₄ of HNS incidents in maritime transport

- ships carrying liquid cargo (tanks)
- ships carrying dry cargo



(4) Which structures? Sea, coast, ports...



Magnitude:

- \rightarrow Bulk carriers
- \rightarrow Container ship representation modest

Frequency:

- \rightarrow Chemical tankers
- \rightarrow Bulk carriers and container ships

Distribution of volumes spilt (> 10 m³) by SHIPS Sea, shore, ports...; 1998-2013



(4) Which structures? Inland waters



- Land transport: 30%
- Industrial installations: 25% (chemical/petrochemical factories, power plants)
- Factories, various companies: 24%



(5) Which products?



Frequency:

- Liquids/solutions: acids, petrochemical (xylenes, benzene), synthetic products (phenol)
- Solids: various minerals, salts, crystals etc.



(5) Which products?



Volume:

- Mud/water loaded with various mineral pollutants
- Acids (e.g. sulphuric), alcohols (ethanol) etc.
- Solids: minerals/salts/crystals and loose foodstuffs (e.g. cereals, soya etc.)

Conclusion

Significant HNS spills with a frequency inferior to oil spills:

✓ but an increasing number of reported cases

comparable spill volumes (magnitude of pollution)

✓ non negligible contribution to total volume (HNS/oil)

✓inland waters (frequency), marine waters (magnitude)

Conclusion

Where to find statistical analyses?

Sea and Shore Technical Newsletters

and Inland Waters Technical Newsletters!

Newsletters have been available in English since 2011 www.cedre.fr, "Publications" section

Further information

Chemical Response Guide

Operational Guides (containers and packages)

Website www.cedre.fr, "Spills" section

"Understanding Chemical Pollution at Sea"



		frances frank frank for A
Cedre	의 Classement alphabétique o	les accidents =
Accounts Classement algorithme Classement chronologique Canographie Zone de déversement Synthèses	A B C D E F G H I J H L M N O P R S T U V W Y	Auto Marris Janio
Lute Rejets en mer Publications	 Mydricaetuses Substances rooms of potentiationient dangemuses (MIC) Autros 	Ansoca Adm A Scholardon Adm A Scholardon Adm A Scholardon Adm A Scholardon Adm M Scholardon Adm M Scholardon
Formation Liens	A C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Redecter	ABT Survey BUSCOSE Adverte functioner Exc200021 Adverte functioner Exc20004 Adverte functioner Exc20004 Adverte functioner Exc20004	Parts P

MÉTHANOL	
Casification U.E. :	Conteneu: et col perdus en m
N° ONU : 1230 Classification MARPOL : Y Classification SEBC : DE (se dissout et s'évapore	CUCE OFFARTHER



Thank you for your attention!



